Prognostic impact of bone invasion in canine oral malignant melanoma treated by surgery and anti-CSPG4 vaccination: A retrospective study on 68 cases (2010–2020)
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Abstract
Prognosis of canine oral malignant melanoma encompasses clinical, histological and immunohistochemical parameters. The aim of this study was to evaluate the prognostic impact of bone invasion in oral canine melanoma. Sixty-eight dogs bearing oral melanoma staged II and III that underwent surgery and anti-CSPG4 electrovaccination, with available histological data and a minimum follow up of minimum 1 year, were retrospectively selected. Bone invasion was detected on imaging and/or histology. Median survival time of dogs with evidence of bone invasion (group 1) was 397 days and significantly shorter compared with dogs with oral melanomas not invading the bone (group 2, 1063 days). Dogs with tumours localised at the level of the cheek, lip, tongue and soft palate (soft tissue - group 3) lived significantly longer compared with dogs having tumours within the gingiva of the maxilla or mandible (hard tissue - group 4) with a median survival time of 1063 and 470 days, respectively. Within group 4, the subgroup of dogs with tumours not invading the bone (group 5) showed a significant prolonged survival time (972 days) in comparison with dogs of group 1 (bone invasion group). Similar results were obtained for the disease-free intervals amongst the different groups. Statistical analysis showed that Ki67 and mitotic count were correlated with shorter survival in patients of group 1 (with bone invasion). Bone invasion should always be assessed since it appears to be a negative prognostic factor.
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INTRODUCTION

Malignant melanoma is the most frequent oral malignant tumour in dogs accounting for 30%-40% of all canine oral malignancies.1-3 Sites of primary growth are gingiva, internal lip/cheek, palate, tongue and tonsil. Canine oral malignant melanoma (OMM) is an aggressive tumour and it has been reported that its behaviour can be predicted by evaluating several clinical factors such as site of growth, size and clinical stage, leukocytes ratio,5-8 and histological and immunohistochemical factors such as Ki67 expression, mitotic count, degree of pigmentation, nuclear atypia and platelet-derived growth factor receptor expression.5-8 The reported metastatic rate to regional lymph nodes and distant sites such as lungs and other organs ranges from 30.3% to 74.0%3,9 and from 14.0% to 92.0%, respectively.3

The treatment of choice for local tumour control, if feasible, is wide surgical excision, regardless of whether or not there is bone invasion at presentation; the feasibility of an en bloc excision is influenced by both the tumour location and the size of dog; as a minimum of 1.5–2 cm up to 3 cm of macroscopically normal tissue all around the OMM should be excised.1-3 Local tumour control is then surgically reached by also performing a neck lymphadenectomy (mandibular and medial retropharyngeal lymph nodes), ipsilaterally or bilaterally.10,11 The removal of lymph nodes followed by histological evaluation also allows for complete tumour staging, as lymph nodes with metastatic OMM may appear clinically and cytologically normal.12,13 Radiotherapy should be considered as an adjuvant treatment for OMMs that are incompletely excised, as a primary treatment in combination with medical treatment for those cases deemed inoperable or when the owners refuse surgery.14-21 An alternative to radiotherapy for local tumour control is electrochemotherapy, which may be contraindicated when bone invasion is already evident.22-24 The results derived from the addition of adjuvant chemotherapy (especially platinum-based agents), to control the metastatic spread, has been disappointing if compared to local tumour control only.16-18,25-27

Thanks to the immunogenic features of melanoma, several studies dealing with immunotherapy have been recently carried out. Melanoma-associated antigens have been identified (e.g., tyrosinase and chondroitin sulphate proteoglycan 4 [CSPG4]) and utilized in producing vaccines capable of evoking an immune response against canine OMM.28-35 In particular, the authors’ focus has been on CSPG4, a cellular membrane antigen, characterised by restricted distribution in normal healthy tissues and high expression on neoplastic cells in both human and canine malignant melanoma. It coordinates several intracellular pathways regulating different cell functions (i.e., proliferation, migration and survival), thus being involved in tumourigenesis at multiple levels.36-39 In addition, CSPG4 has also been shown to be overexpressed in human melanoma cancer stem cells and has been associated with poorer prognosis.32,40 All these features make CSPG4 an ideal antigen to safely and effectively target. A recent paper has shown the advantage of the combination of en bloc excision plus adjuvant anti-CSPG4 vaccination in dogs with OMM.13

Bone invasion, as detected by advanced imaging and/or histologically, has been reported to occur in up to 57.0% of cases.3,41 However, its influence on prognosis remains to be clearly defined. The aim of this study is to retrospectively evaluate the prognostic impact of bone invasion in a population of dogs affected by stage II-III OMMs locally controlled by surgery and treated adjuvantly with anti-CSPG4 DNA electrovaccination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Patient selection and data collection

All dogs of this retrospective study were treated at the Teaching Veterinary Hospital of Grugliasco (Turin) from 2010 to 2020. Dogs with confirmed OMM on histopathology, staged II-III, that underwent surgery and adjuvant CSPG4 electrovaccination were eligible for entry into the study. Specifically, only dogs bearing an OMM with an immunohistochemical CSPG4 score ≥ 3/8 were considered as suitable candidates for vaccination.40 Good Clinical Practise guidelines for animal clinical studies were observed and both the Ethics Committee of the University of Turin and the Italian Ministry of Health approved the study (0004230-20/02/2018-DGSAF-MDS-P and 0015537-28/06/2017-DGSAF-MDS-P). A written consent form was signed by the owners before dogs’ recruitment in the study.

Additional criteria for inclusion were a minimum follow-up of 1 year on 1 April 2021, no concurrent life threatening disease and information on the presence/absence of bone invasion based on imaging and/or histology. Information retrieved from medical records for each dog included age, sex, breed, body weight, tumour localization within the oral cavity, tumour size and type of surgery performed. Pre-treatment work-up consisted of physical examination, blood count, serum biochemistry, cardiologic evaluation and urinalysis. Complete tumour staging was achieved by means of total body computed tomography (CT scan) for the majority of cases. Alternatively, skull, three view chest radiographs and abdominal ultrasound were obtained. The primary tumour was resected by performing an en-bloc excision (mandibulectomy, maxillectomy, lip-cheek excision followed by reconstruction) with regional (ipsilateral or bilateral) lymphadenectomy.1-3 Dogs were staged according to the World Health Organisation tumour/node/distant metastases (TNM) guidelines as illustrated in Table 1.2,42

2.2 Histological and immunohistochemical analyses

Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) samples of OMM were stained with haematoxylin-eosin for diagnosis according to the tumour pathology guidelines.43 The following histological and immunohistochemical data were recorded for all OMM samples: Ki67 expression (polyclonal Ki67 antibody A-047; DAKO; cut-off of 19.5%), mitotic count in 10 high-power fields (MC; ≤ 4/10 high-power fields [hpf] or ≥ 4/10 hpf), nuclear atypia (quantification < or ≥ 30%), surgical margins infiltration status, presence of bone invasion, lymph node evaluation and CSPG4 score.
Table 1: World Health Organization staging system for canine oral melanoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage I</th>
<th>Stage II</th>
<th>Stage III</th>
<th>Stage IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤ 2 cm diameter</td>
<td>2–4 cm diameter</td>
<td>&gt;4 cm diameter</td>
<td>Any size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative lymph nodes</td>
<td>Negative lymph nodes</td>
<td>Metastatic lymph node</td>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed on 4 mm thick paraffin sections. After blocking peroxidase activity (0.3% H₂O₂ in deionised water for 30 min) and heat-induced antigen retrieval (30 min with citrate buffer at 98’C, pH 6), sections were incubated with anti-Ki67 polyclonal antibody (Dako A-047; diluted 1:50) and anti-CSPG4 polyclonal antibody (Sigma Aldrich; diluted 1:40). Detection was performed using the Vector VIP Substrate kit for peroxidase (Vector Laboratories). In case of amelanotic neoplasms, IHC with both Melan-A and PNL-2 antibodies was also performed. Ki67 index was assessed according to the methods previously reported by Bergin and colleagues. The previously published threshold of 19.5 was used to predict prognosis. A total score ranging from 0 to 8 was assigned to each melanoma sample by adding the value that represented the proportion of CSPG4 positively stained tumour cells (score from 0 to 5) and the average staining intensity of CSPG4-positive tumour cells (score from 0 to 3).

The presence of bone within the resection margins was not considered as an inclusion criterion as only the detection of bone invasion at imaging and/or histology was evaluated in the study. Specifically, bone infiltration at imaging was defined as minimal cortical disruption up to ≤ 2 mm diameter 2–4 cm diameter >4 cm diameter Any size

2.3 | Patients’ groups

First, all dogs were divided into two groups: group 1 (OMMs with bone invasion) and group 2 (OMMs without bone invasion), regardless of localization. Additionally, the total population was divided into two other groups based on the site of growth and statistically evaluated. Patients with OMMs at the level of cheek, lip, tonsil, soft palate and tongue were included in group 3 (soft tissue group); patients with OMMs attached to the gingiva of either the lower or upper dental arcade were included in group 4 (hard tissue group). A subgroup of group 4, called group 5, consisted of OMMs that were localised to the gingiva but did not invade the bone. Thus, group 4 consisted of OMMs of group 1 (OMMs with bone invasion) and OMMs of group 5 (no bone invasion). The DNA electrovaccination procedure was performed in all the dogs of this study as only OMMs characterised by a CSPG4 immunohistochimical expression ≥3/8 (cut-off value chosen for enrollment in the immunisation group) were included. Dogs, under brief general anaesthesia, were vaccinated with plasmids coding for the CSPG4 antigen. The vaccination was started 1–3 weeks after surgery and was repeated after 2 weeks and then monthly for a minimum of 6 and a maximum of 24 immunizations. The CSPG4-coding plasmids (500 μg in 200 μl of 0.03% NaCl) were injected into the muscles of the caudal thigh and, 2 min later, nine electric pulses were applied to the injection site using the CLINIPORATOR (Igea), an instrument approved for veterinary application. The dogs were monitored for acute, late local or systemic side effects.

2.4 | Statistical analysis

The analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism (version 9.0.0 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego, California, www.graphpad.com), with statistical significance set at a p < .05. For statistical purposes, the Shapiro–Wilk test was used to assess normality of distribution of the variables.

The DFI was calculated from the day of surgery to the first tumour recurrence or metastasis while the MST was calculated as the period from the day of surgery to the patient’s death. DFI and MST were analysed through generation of Kaplan–Meier curves; log-rank test was used to compare DFI and MST of patients amongst different groups. Dogs which died from non-COMM-related causes, those lost to follow-up and those still alive at the end of the study were censored. Spearman’s correlation was used to look for association between MST and MC or Ki67 of patients with and without bone invasion. Fisher’s exact test was used to test for possible association between different groups and the probability of local recurrence and/or metastasis.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Signalment

Sixty-eight dogs fulfilled the inclusion criteria. There were 39 males (23 intact, 16 castrated) and 29 females (5 intact, 24 spayed). The mean and median age were 11.1 and 12 years (range, 6–14 years), respectively; mean and median weight were 19.8 and 18 kg (range, 3–40 kg), respectively. Twenty-one breeds were represented: 5 Cocker Spaniels, 5 Golden Retrievers, 5 German Sheperd dogs, 4 Pinschers, 3 Pekingese, 3 Yorkshire Terriers, 2 Beagles, 2 Labrador Retrievers, 2 English bulldogs, 2 Dwarf Poodles, 2 Setters, 2 Shih Tzu dogs and one of each of Jack Russell Terrier, Hovawart, Alaskan Malamute, Shar Pei, Dwarf Schnauzer, Rottweiler, Spitz, Amstaff and West Highland White Terrier. The remaining 22 dogs were crossbreeds.

3.2 | Staging and treatment

Clinical staging identified 38 stage II and 30 stage III OMMs; total body CT scan was performed in 54 cases (79.4%) and chest and skull
radiographs in conjunction with abdominal ultrasound in 14 cases (20.6%). Tumour localization within the oral cavity of all patients is shown in Table 2.

Twenty-four dogs underwent mandibulectomy (35.3%) and 14 maxillectomy (20.6%); en-bloc excision was performed in 14 dogs (20.6%, 7 OMMs of the cheek and 7 OMMs of the lips) with or without mucosal or skin flap reconstruction or a combination of both. Two dogs (2.9%) underwent both mandibulectomy and en-bloc excision of the cheek at the same time. One patient (1.5%) underwent tonsillectomy; a simple excision was performed in 13 patients (19.1%) by the referring veterinarian followed in two cases by a revision surgery. In the remaining cases, surgical revision was not done due to the absence of macroscopic and/or residual disease at clinical examination and staging.

Ipsilateral medial retropharyngeal lymphadenectomy was performed in 55 dogs (80.9%), ipsilaterally in 39 dogs (70.9%) and bilateral lymphadenectomy was performed in 16 dogs (29.1%). Ipsilateral medial retropharyngeal lymph node was removed in 3 (7.7%) of the 39 dogs and bilaterally in 2 (1.2%) of the 16 dogs. In 13 dogs (19.1%) the lymph node status was assessed for staging purposes only cytologically after fine needle aspiration. In addition to surgery and immunotherapy, 5 dogs (7.3%) received electrochemotherapy (with bleomycin intravenous injection) while 26 (38.2%) received metronomic chemotherapy. This treatment consisted of low dose oral administration of cyclophosphamide, piroxicam and thalidomide.

### 3.3 Characterisation of the groups of dogs

The characterisation of the five groups of dogs is summarized in Tables 3–5.

#### TABLE 2 Tumour localization of the OMMs included in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Localization</th>
<th>Overall population (n = 68)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>24 (35.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxilla</td>
<td>18 (26.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheek</td>
<td>10 (14.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lip</td>
<td>10 (14.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft palate</td>
<td>1 (1.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongue</td>
<td>3 (4.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonsils</td>
<td>2 (2.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: OMM, oral malignant melanoma.

#### TABLE 3 Characterisation of dogs in group 1 and group 2 based on bone invasion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall patients (n = 68)</th>
<th>Group 1 (n = 28)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n = 40)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presence of bone invasion</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of bone invasion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph nodes metastasis</td>
<td>15 (15/28) (53.6%)</td>
<td>19 (19/40) (47.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### TABLE 4 Characterisation of dogs in group 3 and group 4 based on localization of OMMs’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall patients (n = 68)</th>
<th>Group 3 (n = 26)</th>
<th>Group 4 (n = 42)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hard tissue OMMs (gingiva of mandible or maxilla)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissue OMMs (lip, cheek, tongue tonsils and soft palate)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph nodes metastasis</td>
<td>14 (14/26) (53.8%)</td>
<td>20 (20/42) (47.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: OMM, oral malignant melanoma.

#### TABLE 5 Characterisation of dogs in group 1 and group 5 based on bone invasion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 4 (n = 42)</th>
<th>Group 1 (n = 28)</th>
<th>Group 5 (n = 14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hard tissue OMMs (gingiva of mandible or maxilla)</td>
<td>Presence of bone invasion 28</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of bone invasion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of bone invasion</td>
<td>15 (15/28) (53.6%)</td>
<td>5 (5/14) (37.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Local bone invasion was detected in 28 out of 68 OMMs (41.2%, group 1). Of the entire population 34 out of 68 dogs (50%) had lymph node metastasis. The lymph node metastatic rate in dogs of group 1 was 53.6% (15/28) while it was 47.5% (19/40) in group 2. Twenty-six dogs were included in group 3 and 42 in group 4. The lymph node metastatic rate was 53.8% (14/26) and 47.6% (20/42), respectively. Fourteen dogs were included in group 5 and the lymph node metastatic rate was 35.7% (5/14).

Regarding histological and immunohistochemical parameters, Ki67 was <19.5 in 15/68 (22.1%) OMMs, >19.5 in 49/68 (72.1%) OMMs, unknown in 3/68 (4.4%) and not detectable in one case, 1/68 (1.4%) because of the high pigmentation of the sample. The MC was <4/10 hpf in 10/68 (14.7%) OMMs and ≥4/10 hpf in 58/68 (85.3%) OMMs. Nuclear atypia was <30% in 11/68 (16.1%) OMMs, ≥30% in 52/68 (76.5%) OMMs and not available in 5/68 (7.4%) cases. Based on histopathological of surgical margins, 38/68 (55.9%) OMMs were determined to be completely excised, 21/68 (30.9%) were incompletely excised, and the margin status could not be determined in 9/68 (13.2%) OMMs. Histological and immunohistochemical parameters for every group are summarized in Table 6.

### 3.4 Outcome and prognostic factors

Kaplan Meier curves were analysed for survival times and DFI. At the end of the study, out of the 68 dogs, 7 dogs (10.3%) were still alive (range 544–2815 days) and only one of these dogs (14.3%, 1/7) had an OMM with bone invasion; 60 (88.2%) dogs were dead (range
Thirty-seven dogs out of these 60 dogs (61.6%, 37/60) died of OMM-related disease with 19 (51.3%, 19/37) of these displaying bone invasion.

When groups’ MSTs were compared, MST of group 1 (OMMs with bone invasion) was 397 days (range 78–1951 days) while it was 1063 days (range 172–2815 days) in group 2 (OMMs without bone invasion), with a significant difference ($p = .0006$) (Figure 1A).

**TABLE 6** Histological and immunohistochemical parameters of OMMs in each group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>Overall population ($n = 68$)</th>
<th>Group 1 ($n = 28$)</th>
<th>Group 2 ($n = 40$)</th>
<th>Group 3 ($n = 26$)</th>
<th>Group 4 ($n = 42$)</th>
<th>Group 5 ($n = 14$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mitotic count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;4/10$ hpf</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq4/10$ hpf</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ki67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;19.5$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq19.5$</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;30%$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear atypia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq30%$</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltrated</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: OMM, oral malignant melanoma.

**FIGURE 1** Kaplan Meier analysis of (A) median survival time ($p = .0006$) and (B) disease free interval ($p = .004$) of group 1 and group 2.

**FIGURE 2** Kaplan Meier analysis of (A) median survival time of group 3 and group 4 ($p = .0433$) and (B) median survival time of group 1 and group 5 ($p = .0357$).
The same significant result was evident for DFI: the DFI of group 1 was 193 days (range 29–782 days) and 470 days (range 13–2815 days) for group 2 (p = 0.004) (Figure 1B). When group 3 (soft tissue group) and group 4 (hard tissue group) were compared, MST of group 3 was 1063 days (range 227–2815 days) and was significantly longer (p = 0.004) than that of group 4 (470 days, range 78–2155 days) (Figure 2A). Similar to MST, the DFI of group 3 (470 days, range 13–2815 days) was longer than in group 4 (202 days, range 21–1681 days), although no statistical significance was found (p = 0.115). When comparing dogs with OMMs of group 1 (bone invasion) and group 5 (hard tissue OMMs without bone invasion), dogs of group 5 had longer MSTs (972 days, range 172–2155 days, p = 0.035) and DFIs (261 days, range 21–1681 days, p = 0.058) compared with dogs of group 1 (MST 397 days, DFI 193 days) (Figure 2B). The MST of the entire population was 653 days while DFI was 230 days.

No statistical association was found between groups 1 and 2, 3 and 4 or 1 and 5 in regard to occurrence of metastatic distant disease and the incidence of local recurrence. Additionally, MST and Ki67 (p = 0.02, r = −0.43) and MC (p = 0.04, r = −0.39) were only significantly correlated in group 1 (OMMs with bone invasion).

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study authors evaluate the impact of bone invasion in dogs with canine OMMs in terms of DFI and MST amongst different groups based on the presence/absence of bone invasion and OMM's localization (hard vs. soft tissue). The presence/absence of bone invasion was assessed only in dogs of the hard tissue group. All dogs were treated with a multimodal approach by means of surgery and adjuvant anti-CSPG4 vaccination. Some dogs also received metronomic chemotherapy in addition to electrochemotherapy, in few cases. In addition, the prognostic value of bone invasion, MC and Ki67 were evaluated in this study.7

In recent years, several studies have aimed to identify prognostic markers for melanocytic neoplasms. The prognostic impact of bone invasion in canine OMM has been reported in very few studies and its role remains to be clearly defined. Early studies found that the presence of bone lysis observed on skull radiographs in dogs with oral melanoma did not significantly influence the survival time.44,45 In contrast, in another study evidence of bone lysis, recorded in 28% of the cases, was significantly associated with a worse prognosis and time to first event, which included tumour recurrence, regional or distant metastatic spread and death.13 In the Smedley et al. review, several prognostic factors of melanocytic neoplasms were discussed, and the level of invasion was divided into shallow infiltration with absence of bone lysis associated with a favourable prognosis, and deep invasion with bone lysis that negatively affected the patients’ outcome7; the propensity of OMMs to invade locally, involving the bone in some cases, might be due to the high vascular supply and lymphatic network of the oral cavity that provides an optimal microenvironment for tumour growth and metastatic invasion.46

Most of the dogs of this study underwent a curative intent surgery to entirely remove the tumour, including at least 1.5 cm up to 3 cm of macroscopically normal bone, soft tissues or both (depending on tumour localization)13; OMMs with bone invasion (group 1) were characterised by reduced MST and DFI compared with dogs bearing OMMs without bone invasion (group 2), with a significant difference for both end points. Patients of group 3 (soft tissue) exhibited a significantly longer MST when compared with group 4 (hard tissue); the same was true for the DFI, despite the lack of a significant difference.

The results of this study showed that when only considering dogs with gingival OMMs (group 4, hard tissue), the subgroup that consisted of dogs bearing OMMs without bone invasion (group 5) displayed a significantly prolonged MST compared with those of group 1 (bone invasion). However, there was no significant difference regarding the DFI. The reason behind this group division was to evaluate the impact of bone invasion more precisely in terms of prognosis. It should also be noted that the evaluation of DFI of group 4 and group 5, during the vaccination protocol, may not have been as accurate, considering that these dogs were restaged with radiographs instead of CT. The different diagnostic accuracy of the two techniques could make the DFI a less reliable and precise end point. Additionally, based on previous anti-CSPG4 electrovaccination studies, authors reckon that the immunity induced by the vaccine is more effective in reducing the development of distant metastatic disease rather than local recurrence.31

A significant correlation was observed between Ki67, MC and MST exclusively in dogs of group 1. This result might corroborate the recognized prognostic value of Ki67 and MC, especially in OMMs with bone invasion. As no specific numerical value was attributed to nuclear atypia (which was ≥30% in 76.5% of samples) other than the cut off < or ≥ 30%, no statistical correlation was assessed.

In this study, authors decided to include OMMs with evidence of bone lysis detected on CT and/or histology; however, imaging and histology might have some limitations in identifying this feature. On one side, if the lysis process is in the early phase, CT scan might not capture it because of the very superficial and slight remodelling at the level of the bone surface. Additionally, 20% of our patients were staged using radiographs which are less accurate compared with CT in detecting bone invasion; in fact, it has been reported that bone lysis is not evident on radiographs until 40% of the cortex is destroyed. This suggests that CT scan is preferable for oral tumour staging.47,48 On the other side, tumours including bone are trimmed for histological evaluation through a cross-sectioning technique. In the case of wide surgical margins, as they are in case of mandibulectomy or maxillectomy, the cross-sectioning is performed through the cranial, caudal, and central part of bone specimen.49 This may miss some areas where bone lysis may be present; this problem should be overcome with further sections, but this is not always feasible. Considering these limitations, the bone invasion may have been underestimated in this study.

Nevertheless, MST was prolonged compared with historically reported survival times.18,50 This result may be partially attributable to...
the effect of the anti-CSPG4 electrovaccination. Several clinical trials have been geared towards the use of DNA vaccination as a fundamental step in the management of malignant melanomas. 

Our protocol involves the electrovaccinaion against CSPG4, a class one oncoantigen involved in several oncogenic pathways such as melanoma tumour cell progression, survival and metastasis, and is poorly expressed in healthy tissue. Therefore, CSPG4 has gained value as an ideal immunotherapeutic target, in recent and ongoing clinical trials, anti-CSPG4 electrovaccination has revealed its potential therapeutic impact, being safe, immunogenic in inducing a significant humoral response and effective in prolonging survival in OMM bearing dogs. Based on previous and current results, authors reckon that anti-CSPG4 electrovaccination is worthwhile to consider as adjunct treatment considering its positive role in terms of outcome.

The lymph node metastatic rate did not significantly differ between groups 1 and 2, although it was slightly higher in group 1. However, it is difficult to make any conclusions as different procedures were used to stage the lymph node status. Some dogs had their lymph nodes evaluated only cytologically while others underwent ipsilateral lymphadenectomy of mandibular and/or medial retropharyngeal lymph nodes, and only a few had a bilateral neck lymphadenectomy. This limitation, together with the retrospective nature of the study, may have led to underestimation of the actual lymph node status in some patients. Recently, new surgical procedures and methods have been proposed to improve lymph node staging as part of the clinical staging based on the TNM system. 

Regarding the localization of OMMs, the literature reports that rostral tumours may be associated with a longer survival time. This can be explained by the fact that rostral tumours have a better chance of being completely excised when compared to caudal tumours. Additionally, because of their location, caudal malignant tumours are often detected later in the course of the disease, having already progressed to an advanced stage at the time of diagnosis. Unfortunately, it was not feasible to evaluate OMMs of the present canine population based on this detail because of the incompleteness of data derived from both the retrospective nature of the study and the different diagnostic procedures (CT scan vs. radiographs) used to stage and therefore to detect bone invasion. Additionally, another shortcoming of this study is the limited number of dogs included in each group.

According to our data, bone invasion was significantly associated with a shorter MST and a shorter DFI. The negative impact of bone invasion was also evident when authors evaluated the MST and DFI of dogs with OMMs of soft tissue and dogs with OMMs of hard tissue, the latter group having a reduced MST and DFI.

In conclusion, several prognostic markers should be carefully evaluated for prognosis and treatment of OMM and this study further supports that bone invasion is one of these factors. Because of its negative impact on prognosis, bone invasion caused by canine OMM should be assessed, if feasible, through an advanced diagnostic imaging procedure and evaluated via histopathology.
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